[2] If the design of any individual set of control systems or surfaces makes these specified minimum forces or torques inapplicable, values corresponding to the present hinge moments obtained under § 23.415, but not less than 0.6 of the specified minimum forces or torques, may be used.

[3] The critical parts of the aileron control system must also be designed for a single tangential force with a limit value of 1.25 times the couple force determined from the above criteria.

[4] D=wheel diameter (inches).

[5] The unsymmetrical force must be applied at one of the normal handgrip points on the control wheel.

[Doc. No. 4080, 29 FR 17955, Dec. 18, 1964, as amended by Amdt. 23–7, 34 FR 13089, Aug. 13, 1969; Amdt. 23–17, 41 FR 55464, Dec. 20, 1976; Amdt. 23–34, 52 FR 1829, Jan. 15, 1987; Amdt. 23–45, 58 FR 42160, Aug. 6, 1993]

§ 23.399 Dual control system.

(a) Each dual control system must be designed to withstand the force of the pilots operating in opposition, using individual pilot forces not less than the greater of—

(1) 0.75 times those obtained under § 23.395; or

(2) The minimum forces specified in § 23.397(b).

(b) Each dual control system must be designed to withstand the force of the pilots applied together, in the same direction, using individual pilot forces not less than 0.75 times those obtained under § 23.395.

[Doc. No. 27805, 61 FR 5145, Feb. 9, 1996]

§ 23.405 Secondary control system.

Secondary controls, such as wheel brakes, spoilers, and tab controls, must be designed for the maximum forces that a pilot is likely to apply to those controls.

§ 23.407 Trim tab effects.

The effects of trim tabs on the control surface design conditions must be accounted for only where the surface loads are limited by maximum pilot effort. In these cases, the tabs are considered to be deflected in the direction that would assist the pilot. These deflections must correspond to the maximum degree of ''out of trim'' expected at the speed for the condition under consideration.

§ 23.409 Tabs.

Control surface tabs must be designed for the most severe combination of airspeed and tab deflection likely to be obtained within the flight envelope for any usable loading condition.

§ 23.415 Ground gust conditions.

(a) The control system must be investigated as follows for control surface loads due to ground gusts and taxiing downwind:

(1) If an investigation of the control system for ground gust loads is not required by paragraph (a)(2) of this section, but the applicant elects to design a part of the control system of these loads, these loads need only be carried from control surface horns through the nearest stops or gust locks and their supporting structures.

(2) If pilot forces less than the minimums specified in § 23.397(b) are used for design, the effects of surface loads due to ground gusts and taxiing downwind must be investigated for the entire control system according to the formula:

$$H=K\ c\ S\ q$$

where—

H=limit hinge moment (ft.-lbs.);

c=mean chord of the control surface aft of the hinge line (ft.);

S=area of control surface aft of the hinge line (sq. ft.);

q=dynamic pressure (p.s.f.) based on a design speed not less than $14.6\sqrt{(W/S)} + 14.6$ (f.p.s.) where W/S=wing loading at design maximum weight, except that the design speed need not exceed 88 (f.p.s.);

K=limit hinge moment factor for ground gusts derived in paragraph (b) of this section. (For ailerons and elevators, a positive value of K indicates a moment tending to depress the surface and a negative value of K indicates a moment tending to raise the surface).

(b) The limit hinge moment factor *K* for ground gusts must be derived as follows:

Surface	*K*	Position of controls
(a) Aileron	0.75	Control column locked lashed in mid-position.
(b) Aileron	±0.50	Ailerons at full throw; + moment on one aileron, − moment on the other.
(c) Elevator	±0.75	(c) Elevator full up (−).
(d) Elevator	....	(d) Elevator full down (+).
(e) Rudder	±0.75	(e) Rudder in neutral.
(f) Rudder	....	(f) Rudder at full throw.

(c) At all weights between the empty weight and the maximum weight declared for tie-down stated in the appropriate manual, any declared tie-down points and surrounding structure, control system, surfaces and associated gust locks, must be designed to withstand the limit load conditions that exist when the airplane is tied down and that result from wind speeds of up to 65 knots horizontally from any direction.

[Doc. No. 4080, 29 FR 17955, Dec. 18, 1964, as amended by Amdt. 23–7, 34 FR 13089, Aug. 13, 1969; Amdt. 23–45, 58 FR 42160, Aug. 6, 1993; Amdt. 23–48, 61 FR 5145, Feb. 9, 1996]

HORIZONTAL STABILIZING AND BALANCING SURFACES

§23.421 Balancing loads.

(a) A horizontal surface balancing load is a load necessary to maintain equilibrium in any specified flight condition with no pitching acceleration.

(b) Horizontal balancing surfaces must be designed for the balancing loads occurring at any point on the limit maneuvering envelope and in the flap conditions specified in §23.345.

[Doc. No. 4080, 29 FR 17955, Dec. 18, 1964, as amended by Amdt. 23–7, 34 FR 13089, Aug. 13, 1969; Amdt. 23–42, 56 FR 352, Jan. 3, 1991]

§23.423 Maneuvering loads.

Each horizontal surface and its supporting structure, and the main wing of a canard or tandem wing configuration, if that surface has pitch control, must be designed for the maneuvering loads imposed by the following conditions:

(a) A sudden movement of the pitching control, at the speed V_A, to the maximum aft movement, and the maximum forward movement, as limited by the control stops, or pilot effort, whichever is critical.

(b) A sudden aft movement of the pitching control at speeds above V_A, followed by a forward movement of the pitching control resulting in the following combinations of normal and angular acceleration:

Condition	Normal acceleration (n)	Angular acceleration (radian/sec$_2$)
Nose-up pitching	1.0	$+39n_m \div V \times (n_m - 1.5)$
Nose-down pitching	n_m	$-39n_m \div V \times (n_m - 1.5)$

where—

(1) n_m=positive limit maneuvering load factor used in the design of the airplane; and

(2) V=initial speed in knots.

The conditions in this paragraph involve loads corresponding to the loads that may occur in a ''checked maneuver'' (a maneuver in which the pitching control is suddenly displaced in one direction and then suddenly moved in the opposite direction). The deflections and timing of the ''checked maneuver'' must avoid exceeding the limit maneuvering load factor. The total horizontal surface load for both nose-up and nose-down pitching conditions is the sum of the balancing loads at V and the specified value of the normal load factor n, plus the maneuvering load increment due to the specified value of the angular acceleration.

[Amdt. 23–42, 56 FR 353, Jan. 3, 1991; 56 FR 5455, Feb. 11, 1991]

§23.425 Gust loads.

(a) Each horizontal surface, other than a main wing, must be designed for loads resulting from—

(1) Gust velocities specified in §23.333(c) with flaps retracted; and

(2) Positive and negative gusts of 25 f.p.s. nominal intensity at V_F corresponding to the flight conditions specified in §23.345(a)(2).

(b) [Reserved]

(c) When determining the total load on the horizontal surfaces for the conditions specified in paragraph (a) of this section, the initial balancing loads for steady unaccelerated flight at the pertinent design speeds V_F, V_C, and V_D must first be determined. The incremental load resulting from the gusts must be added to the initial balancing load to obtain the total load.

(d) In the absence of a more rational analysis, the incremental load due to the gust must be computed as follows only on airplane configurations with aft-mounted, horizontal surfaces, unless its use elsewhere is shown to be conservative:

$$\Delta L_{ht} = \frac{K_g U_{de} V a_{ht} S_{ht}}{498}\left(1 - \frac{d\varepsilon}{d\alpha}\right)$$

where—

ΔL_{ht}=Incremental horizontal tailload (lbs.);
K_g=Gust alleviation factor defined in §23.341;
U_{de}=Derived gust velocity (f.p.s.);
V=Airplane equivalent speed (knots);
a_{ht}=Slope of aft horizontal lift curve (per radian)